## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		)	
	,	ĺ	
	Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No.:
		)	
v.		)	JUDGE:
		)	
CLEAR CHANNEL		)	Filed:
COMMUNICATIONS, INC	•	)	
		)	
and		í	
ana		)	
ANGENAINIC		)	
AMFM INC.,		)	
		)	
Defendants.		)	
		)	
		)	

## STIPULATION AND ORDER

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.
- 2. The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form attached hereto may be filed with and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that the United States has not

withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

- 3. The defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, or until expiration of time for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation and Order by the parties, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though the same were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.
- 4. The parties recognize that there could be a delay in obtaining approval by or a ruling of a government agency related to the divestitures required by Section IV of the Final Judgment, notwithstanding the good faith efforts of the defendants and any prospective Acquirer, as defined in the Final Judgment. In this circumstance, plaintiff will, in the exercise of its sole discretion, acting in good faith, give special consideration to forbearing from applying for the appointment of a trustee pursuant to Section VII(A) of the Final Judgment, or from pursuing legal remedies available to it as a result of such delay, provided that: (i) defendants have entered into one or more definitive agreements to divest the relevant Radio Assets, as defined in the Final Judgment, and such agreements and the Acquirer or Acquirers have been approved by the United States; (ii) all papers necessary to secure any governmental approvals and/or rulings to effectuate such divestitures (including but not limited to FCC, SEC and IRS approvals or rulings) have been filed with the appropriate agency;
- (iii) receipt of such approvals are the only closing conditions that have not been satisfied or waived; and(iv) defendants have demonstrated that neither they nor the prospective Acquirer or Acquirers areresponsible for such delay.

5. In the event that (I) the United States withdraws its consent, as provided in paragraph 2

above, or (ii) the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation and Order, the

time has expired for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and

this Court has not otherwise ordered continued compliance with the terms and provisions of the

proposed Final Judgment, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation

and Order, and the making of this Stipulation and Order shall be without evidentiary prejudice to any

party in this or any other proceeding.

6. This Stipulation and Order shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended

proposed Final Judgment agreed upon in writing by the parties and submitted to the Court.

7. Defendants represent that the divestitures ordered in the proposed Final Judgment can

and will be made, and that defendants will later raise no claim of hardship or difficulty as grounds for

asking the Court to modify any of the divestiture provisions contained therein.

Dated: August 29, 2000

FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES:

John C. Filippini (165159)

Allen P. Grunes

Rex Fujichaku

Litigation II Section

**Antitrust Division** 

U.S. Department of Justice

1401 H Street, NW, Suite 3000

Washington, DC 20005

3

## FOR DEFENDANT CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Charles E. Biggio Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld 590 Madison Avenue - 20th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 872-1010

Phillip A. Proger Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 (202) 879-4668

FOR DEFENDANT AMFM INC.:

Neil W. Imus Vinson & Elkins The Willard Office Building 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1008 (202) 639-6675

## ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED by this Court, this	Day of August, 2000.
	United States District Judge